8/1/25

FORMATS FOR EVALUATION OF PLANTATIONS RAISED UNDER ALL SCHEMES FOR THE PERIOD FROM 2022-23 TO 2025-26

Method of Inspection:

Inspection of quality of plantation: 2% area of each of the plantation site is to be inspected by laying random sample plots of size 40m x 25m. Spacing, height & Girth have to be measured from sample plots selected for evaluation.

Photographs of plantation sites before & after plantation are required to be provided.

Reporting	format	for	eva	luating	team:
-----------	--------	-----	-----	---------	-------

Re	porting format for evaluating team:
1.	General Information: (a) Division: - Bhadrock (WL) Birision (b) Range: - chandbali (WIS Range (c) Section: - chandbali (WIS Section) (d) Beat: - Nervali Beat (M)
2.	Information of the Plantation Site: (a) Name of the site (with longitude & latitude) (GPS reading):- chandbali to bijaynag (b) Legal Status of the site:- PMGS Road Alv plantation - 2022-23 (c) Year of plantation:- 2022-23 (d) Name of the Scheme:- 4mm (e) Type of plantation: (Economic/ Bald Hill/ ANR with Gap Plantation H- 20: 776/99 Avenue/NBM/GIM/GMM etc.) - Avenue plantation E-86: 76/99 (f) Area (in ha.) as per target:- 3 RKM (g) Construction of signboard at the plantation site:- Yes
3.	Plantation Journal: - (a) Properly maintained:- (b) Partly maintained:- (c) Not maintained:-
4.	Map of the afforestation site: (a) Prepared: - (b) Not prepared: -
5.	Treatment Map: - (a) Prepared: - (b) Not Prepared: -
6.	Area of the Plantation: - (a) Gross area of the plantation: - 4RUM (b) Net area planted: - 3RUM (c) No. of pillars posted:- (d) Whether pillars are numbered:-
7.	Pitting Register:- (a) Maintained:- (b) Not Maintained:- Moonforcet,

- Plantation design approved by ACF: 1103 8.
- 0. Plantation:

(An area of each plantation site is to be inspected. Sampling plots of 40 m x 25 m are to be delineated randomly for recording following information).

(a) Species wise seedlings planted:

Sl. No	Sample plot	GPS Reading	No. of plants	No. of plants	Survival
			planted	survived	
		er faretalenskinde ste steatskinde steatskinde steatskinde steatskinde steatskinde steatskinde steatskinde ste			e de la company de la comp

- (b) Spacing adopted.
- (c) (i) No. of seedlings planted in the whole plantation as per record.
 - (ii) No of seedlings planted in the whole plantation calculated based on 2% sampling mentioned above.
 - (iii) Difference if any the reason of casualty.
- (d) Survival Percentage (Avg): -
- (e) Average height growth of the species:-

SL No.	Name of the species	Min. Height. (in cm)	Max. Height (in cm)	Av. Height (in cm)	
	*		The state of the s	ar ann an 1960 an tha aire ann an ann an an an Aire an	
				and the state of t	
				and free region to the extension of the	
				 Provide residence or control of the first procession of public or the public or control program or the public or th	
			a the man has been a stronger to the money project, and the constraints of agency and the constraints of a constraints.		

10. Fencing:

Type of Fencing	Length i	n Metre	Difference if any	Remarks
adopted	As per record	As per physical		
		verification		
General	Bowbard	Bambon Gobi	-	
679-671	posin	around lying		
5 9	00,01,0	plant.		

Avenue Plantation:

100% of the total Row KM of avenue plantation of each plantation site will be inspected and height and survival % will be measured.

*CHOCOLOGIC	Name of	Site of	Length	No. of	Height in cm.		No. of		Fencing		Remarks	
Cauchralon	the	Plantation	in RKM	plants	Min	Max	Avg	plants	Jan 19 7 7	Type	Length	
9	Division	with GPS	e a	planted	N 24-		25	survived	Survival		(în	19
Control of the last		reading at		species	7		jar te ja		%		meter)	
- Charles		both the		wise								
-		ends					entige (Village of the Antholise of the Colonia, the					Manager and the second
The state of the s	*	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		12

school s General observation by Evaluation Team:-(a) Suitability of the site for plantation:-Enotable Good species choice asper Este. Proper reprintenance and Postocton-(b) Site specific right choice of the species:-(c) Reason for high / low survival percentage:-(d) Suggestions by Evaluation Team:-(e) Others if any:-No watcher deployed due to man aroilabilit of fine Signature of the field staff (Economic P Signature of the evaluation team MANA COL including VSS/PRI members Huly know worked the Greekija kremar bingh for TIN ha,) as ner ton Laxui franta næyelk P. woocher pradeep Kuman Jeng

P. Wacher

Læyni kælle næfer